

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE U		PAGE OF PAGES 1 3		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 16-Feb-2005		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 05AS019		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK CODE 3352, A. STRACCIOLINI 5001 SOUTH BROAD ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19112-1403		N65540		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE			
				See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N65540-05-R-0005	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-Jan-2005	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) A. THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS CONTAINED ON PAGE 2 HEREIN ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND DO NOT BECOME PART OF THE SOLICITATION. SEE PAGE 2.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: EMAIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16-Feb-2005	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

A (Cont.):

1. QUESTION: There is no mention of radar absorbing performance. Is this a requirement for the two mast structures?

ANSWER : No, radar absorbing performance is not a requirement for the two mast structures (AN/BRA-34, AN/BRD-7). Radar Absorption Material (RAM) performance will be addressed in individual delivery orders, as applicable. NO CHANGE TO THE SOW IS REQUIRED.

2. QUESTION: In our research to date, the solicitation mentions a requirement for a 50 foot planer-miller (page 83 of 87, item #2). We work with some large machine shops that offer alternate technology, as planer-miller equipment has become less common. Please advise if alternate equipment is acceptable providing the part(s) are manufactured in accordance with the drawings and specification.

ANSWER: Alternate equipment will be considered. See Section B below.

3. QUESTION: Page 84 of 87, item #6 specifies classified storage. ARC meets this requirement. Please advise if the classified storage area includes the finished mast assembly. Is the finished mast classified?

ANSWER: The two mast assemblies (AN/BRA-34 , AN/BRD-7) listed in the RFP are not classified. Any requirement for classified material / information will be specified under the delivery order as required. NO CHANGE TO THE SOW IS REQUIRED.

4. QUESTION: Page 83 of 87, item #4 specifies a surface plate for straightness--please clarify if this indicates the plate must be at the manufacturing site or does "readily accessible" satisfy this requirement.

ANSWER: Having the equipment "readily accessible" meets the Governments minimum requirements. All facilities must be within the 350 mile radius restriction specified in the RFP. NO CHANGE TO THE SOW IS REQUIRED.

5. QUESTION: During our research, we have identified an alternative method to manufacture the mast which may eliminate the need for the Sigma and Channel assemblies. Please advise if the Navy is interested in alternate proposals and please advise on how these should be submitted.

ANSWER: The current Navy requirements are as defined in the RFP and alternate methods will not be considered at this time. NO CHANGE TO THE SOW IS REQUIRED.

6. QUESTION: In reading the proposal, it is unclear if tooling already exists for these masts. Please advise if tooling will be provided or if it should be quoted as a separate item. If tooling exists, please provide an estimate of time in service and if a provision should be made for maintenance and /or refurbishment.

ANSWER: Tooling is not provided by the Government. The cost for Tooling should be included the contractor's proposed Contract Line Item (CLIN) amounts in accordance with the RFP Schedule of Supplies/Services. No additional CLINs should be proposed. NO CHANGE TO THE SOW IS REQUIRED.

B. THE RFP IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. In Section C- Statement of Work and Section L of the RFP, a requirement is specified for a “50 Foot Planer/Miller”. A note is added to any sections of the RFP containing this requirement as follows:

“Note: Alternate equipment will be considered in replacement of the 50 Foot Planer/Miller. A complete and detailed description of any such alternate equipment MUST be included the offeror’s technical proposal. Final determination of acceptability of alternate equipment will be made by the Government.”

(End of Summary of Changes)